	Case 1:21-cv-01510-JLT-BAM	Document 35	Filed 04/07/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	ANDREW AVILA,	Ca	ase No. 1:21-cv-015	510-JLT-BAM (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,		RDER DENYING N DUNSEL	MOTION TO APPOINT	
13	V.		CF No. 34)		
14	FELDER, et al.,	(L	CI 110. 3 1)		
15	Defendants.				
16					
17	Plaintiff Andrew Avila ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> in this civil rights				
18	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.				
19	On January 23, 2025, the Court directed Plaintiff to file a second amended complaint				
20	within thirty days. (ECF No. 29.) Following two extensions of time, Plaintiff's second amended				
21	complaint or notice of voluntary dismissal is currently due on or before April 23, 2025. (ECF				
2223	Nos. 31, 33.) Currently before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to appoint counsel filed April 4, 2025				
23 24	Currently before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to appoint counsel, filed April 4, 2025.				
2 4 25	(ECF No. 34.) Plaintiff states that he has bad vision and can't see well. Plaintiff only has one eye				
26	with mediocre vision. Plaintiff is not knowledgeable with law and does not possess a high school				
27	diploma or GED. Plaintiff is proceeding without counsel and has been assisted by numerous				
28	inmates to move his case forward. Plaintiff is incarcerated with limited access to legal resources. Plaintiff is almost sixty years of age and due to inadequate medical treatment and care in state				
20	1				

1	prison, Plaintiff has lingering medical problems. (Id.)				
2	Plaintiff is reminded that the filing fee has been paid and Plaintiff is not proceeding in				
3	forma pauperis in this action. The Court is not aware of any authority that would allow the				
4	appointment of counsel for a litigant in a civil action who is not proceeding in forma pauperis.				
5	Even if Plaintiff were proceeding in forma pauperis in this action, Plaintiff does not hav				
6	a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525				
7	(9th Cir. 1997), rev'd in part on other grounds, 154 F.3d 952, 954 n.1 (9th Cir. 1998), and the				
8	court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1).				
9	Mallard v. U.S. Dist. Court for the S. Dist. of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). However, in				
10	certain exceptional circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel				
11	pursuant to section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525. The Court has considered Plaintiff's				
12	request, but does not find the required exceptional circumstances.				
13	Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion to appoint counsel, (ECF No. 34), is HEREBY DENIED				
14	Plaintiff's second amended complaint or notice of voluntary dismissal remains due on or before				
15	April 23, 2025.				
16					
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
18	Dated: April 7, 2025 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe				
19	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

Case 1:21-cv-01510-JLT-BAM Document 35 Filed 04/07/25 Page 2 of 2